

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,655	INOUE, KENJI	
	Examiner Barbara Summons	Art Unit 2817	

All Participants:

(1) Barbara Summons.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Alan W. Young (Reg. # 37,970).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2007

Time: afternoon EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claims 4, 6 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BARBARA SUMMONS
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed cancelling claims 4 and 6 since, due to the dependency change in the amendment received 8/28/07, they are now identical to claims 3 and 5, respectively. Also discussed editorial changes in claim 8 to use the word "said" with respect to the "first inductor" and "second inductor" since they are previously recited in claim 1 as amended. The changes were agreed to via a subsequent phone call on August 31,2007, and are being made by the accompanying Examiner's amendment.